



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/678,303	9/678,303 10/03/2000		Louis-Phillippe Vezina	14149-4 "US" FC/ntb	5731	
20988	7590	07/28/2005		EXAMINER		
OGILVY F		LT LLP LEGE AVENUE	HELMER, G	HELMER, GEORGIA L		
SUITE 1600		DEGE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MONTREA	L, QC I	H3A2Y3	1638	1638		
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/678,303	VEZINA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Georgia L. Helmer	1638		

Advisory Action	09/070,303	VEZINA ET AE.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Georgia L. Helmer	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follot places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complet following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the continued for reply expires</li></ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi	-	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 April 2005</u> . A brief is date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repliaments	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	ssal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because ·
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		4. 1 6.1 1	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable it submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 5, 9 and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	0
$\circ$		ELK M	じるとこ
Tir		ELIZABETH MICH PRIMARY EXAM	

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The terms "leaves" (claim 1 line 2), "alfalfa" (claim 5, line 2 and "for transgenic expression in leaves of foreign DNA" (claim 10 line 2) raise issues of new matter and would require additional searches and further considerations.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The § 112-1 Enablement rejection of record is maintained. See Office Action of 3 June 2003).